Consultation questions

The IIRC welcomes comments on all aspects of the Draft International <IR> Framework (Draft Framework) from all stakeholders, whether to express agreement or to recommend changes.

The following questions are focused on areas where there has been significant discussion during the development process. Comments on any other aspect of the Draft Framework are also encouraged through the questions.

Please provide all comments in English.

All comments received will be considered a matter of public record and will be posted on the IIRC’s website (www.theiirc.org).

Comments should be submitted by Monday 15th, July 2013.

Name: Maria Farah Faseli-Friedl
Email: farah.faseli@fh-wien.ac.at
Stakeholder group: Academic

If replying on behalf of an Organization please complete the following:

Organization name: FH Vienna, University of Applied Sciences of WKW, Institute for Financial Management
Industry sector: please select
Geographical region: Western Europe

Key Points

If you wish to briefly express any key points, or to emphasize particular aspects of your submission, or add comments in the nature of a cover letter, then the following space can be used for this purpose. Please do not repeat large amounts of material appearing elsewhere in your comments.

As to the ratio financial and non-financial reporting:

When it is true that 81% of the total value of the S&P 500 companies are estimated to be intangible assets (as to your Discussion Paper from Sept. 2011, p. 4), reporting of non-financial aspects should be expanded adequately (well keeping in mind, that non-financial information affects non tangible assets as well as tangible assets equally.)

The danger is, that an <IR> might be too broad and extensive (given the fact, that all information is absolutely important and not used to make advertising). If an annual report is too broad but clear structured (with a content in front and may be an index at the end of the report), than the beneficial parties (stakeholders) should be more informed than confused. One could compare an <IR> with a science book. If all the information inside is well structured and for everyone easily to be found, it will be an interesting book to read and use for academic or any other kind of research. But at the moment my students have problems to use annual reports for academic research, as the contents are not always clear structured, and the reports are so broad (about 300...
pages), it takes you a long time to find the necessary non-financial information.

As to the principle of comparability:

As to the claim to report internationally comparable (specially as to the formal presentation of the information) it would be favourable to stick to report systems, which also can be used by small and medium sized firms, like „sustainable reports“, which represent an additional reporting tool to the annual report. If for instance multinatinal companies disclose non-financial information within the management report (a management report is only obligatory for large companies in Germany -§ 289 Abs. 3 HGB - and Austria, §243 Abs. 5 UGB, § 267 Abs. 2 UGB), there would be no possibility for a „formal“ consistance for small and medium sized enterprises, as the latter dont disclose management reports (Lagebericht) at all. SMEs (make in German and Austria about 99,7% of the total firms) tend to disclose non-financial information within „sustainable reports“.

But it is observable, that also large companies disclose a separate sustainable report (outside the management report), which helps to structure the reporting system as a whole (for the reporter as well as for the beneficiaries of the financial and non financial statements).

Chapter 1: Overview

Principles-based requirements

To be in accordance with the Framework, an integrated report should comply with the principles-based requirements identified throughout the Framework in bold italic type (paragraphs 1.11-1.12).

1. Should any additional principles-based requirements be added or should any be eliminated or changed? If so, please explain why.

Interaction with other reports and communications

The <IR> process is intended to be applied continuously to all relevant reports and communications, in addition to the preparation of an integrated report. The integrated report may include links to other reports and communications, e.g., financial statements and sustainability reports. The IIRC aims to complement material developed by established reporting standard setters and others, and does not intend to develop duplicate content (paragraphs 1.18-1.20).

2. Do you agree with how paragraphs 1.18-1.20 characterize the interaction with other reports and communications?

3. If the IIRC were to create an online database of authoritative sources of indicators or measurement methods developed by established reporting standard setters and others, which references should be included?
Chapter 2: Fundamental concepts

The capitals (Section 2B)

The Framework describes six categories of capital (paragraph 2.17). An organization is to use these categories as a benchmark when preparing an integrated report (paragraphs 2.19-2.21), and should disclose the reason if it considers any of the capitals as not material (paragraph 4.5).

5. Do you agree with this approach to the capitals? Why/why not?

6. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2B?

Business model (Section 2C)

A business model is defined as an organization’s chosen system of inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes that aims to create value over the short, medium and long term (paragraph 2.26).

7. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?

Outcomes are defined as the internal and external consequences (positive and negative) for the capitals as a result of an organization's business activities and outputs (paragraphs 2.35-2.36).

8. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?

9. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2C or the disclosure requirements and related guidance regarding business models contained in the Content Elements Chapter of the Framework (see Section 4E)?

Other

10. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 2 that are not already addressed by your responses above.
Chapter 3: Guiding Principles

Materiality and conciseness (Section 3D)
Materiality is determined by reference to assessments made by the primary intended report users (paragraphs 3.23-3.24). The primary intended report users are providers of financial capital (paragraphs 1.6-1.8).

11. Do you agree with this approach to materiality? If not, how would you change it?

12. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3D or the Materiality determination process (Section 5B).

Reliability and completeness (Section 3E)
Reliability is enhanced by mechanisms such as robust internal reporting systems, appropriate stakeholder engagement, and independent, external assurance (paragraph 3.31).

13. How should the reliability of an integrated report be demonstrated?

14. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3E.

Other
15. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 3 that are not already addressed by your responses above.

Chapter 4: Content Elements
16. Please provide any comments you have about Chapter 4 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the Content Element Business Model [Section 4E] in your answer to questions 7-9 above rather than here).

Chapter 5: Preparation and presentation

Involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D)
Section 5D discusses the involvement of those charged with governance, and paragraph 4.5 requires organizations to disclose the governance body with oversight responsibility for <IR>.
17. **Should there be a requirement for those charged with governance to include a statement acknowledging their responsibility for the integrated report? Why/why not?**

18. **Please provide any other comments you have about Involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D).**

**Credibility (Section 5E)**

The Framework provides reporting criteria against which organizations and assurance providers assess a report’s adherence (paragraph 5.21).

19. **If assurance is to be obtained, should it cover the integrated report as a whole, or specific aspects of the report? Why?**

20. **Please provide any other comments you have about Credibility (Section 5E). Assurance providers are particularly asked to comment on whether they consider the Framework provides suitable criteria for an assurance engagement.**

**Other**

21. **Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 5 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the materiality determination process [Section 5B] in your answer to question 11 above rather than here).**

**Overall view**

22. **Recognizing that <IR> will evolve over time, please explain the extent to which you believe the content of the Framework overall is appropriate for use by organizations in preparing an integrated report and for providing report users with information about an organization’s ability to create value in the short, medium and long term?**

**Development of <IR>**

23. **If the IIRC were to develop explanatory material on <IR> in addition to the Framework, which three topics would you recommend be given priority? Why?**
Other

24. Please provide any other comments not already addressed by your responses to Questions 1-23.