Consultation questions

The IIRC welcomes comments on all aspects of the Draft International <IR> Framework (Draft Framework) from all stakeholders, whether to express agreement or to recommend changes.

The following questions are focused on areas where there has been significant discussion during the development process. Comments on any other aspect of the Draft Framework are also encouraged through the questions.

Please provide all comments in English.

All comments received will be considered a matter of public record and will be posted on the IIRC’s website (www.theiirc.org).

Comments should be submitted by Monday 15th, July 2013.

Name: Roberto Listik
Email: roberto.listik@elyonconsulting.com
Stakeholder group: Report preparers

If replying on behalf of an Organization please complete the following:

Organization name: Elyon Consulting
Industry sector: Not applicable
Geographical region: Central and South America

Key Points
If you wish to briefly express any key points, or to emphasize particular aspects of your submission, or add comments in the nature of a cover letter, then the following space can be used for this purpose. Please do not repeat large amounts of material appearing elsewhere in your comments.
Chapter 1: Overview

Principles-based requirements
To be in accordance with the Framework, an integrated report should comply with the principles-based requirements identified throughout the Framework in bold italic type (paragraphs 1.11-1.12).

1. Should any additional principles-based requirements be added or should any be eliminated or changed? If so, please explain why.

Interaction with other reports and communications
The <IR> process is intended to be applied continuously to all relevant reports and communications, in addition to the preparation of an integrated report. The integrated report may include links to other reports and communications, e.g., financial statements and sustainability reports. The IIRC aims to complement material developed by established reporting standard setters and others, and does not intend to develop duplicate content (paragraphs 1.18-1.20).

2. Do you agree with how paragraphs 1.18-1.20 characterize the interaction with other reports and communications?

3. If the IIRC were to create an online database of authoritative sources of indicators or measurement methods developed by established reporting standard setters and others, which references should be included?

Outline company strategy may jeopardize competitive strength. Special mitigants should be observed for companies reporting in a segment where overall majority are not public (or listed companies in stock exchange).

Remaining items- ok

Interaction with other reports and communications

1) It is fine to integrate financial statements (with comprehensive MD&A) and sustainability reports.
2) Links to other relevant communication prepared by the organization within sustainability framework.
3) Apply as a standard in webcasts, sell-side conferences/ndrs and I.R. website.

It should consider following aspects:
1) geographic region.
2) market segment
3) FX and/or CPI material impacts.
4) Market Segment regulatory framework.
4. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 1.

Chapter 2: Fundamental concepts

The capitals (Section 2B)
The Framework describes six categories of capital (paragraph 2.17). An organization is to use these categories as a benchmark when preparing an integrated report (paragraphs 2.19-2.21), and should disclose the reason if it considers any of the capitals as not material (paragraph 4.5).

5. Do you agree with this approach to the capitals? Why/why not?

It is a good representation of capital inflow/outflow per category. However, not all of them can be quantified(or measured). Categories indicated should be used as a general guidance to produce the report.

6. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2B?

Business model (Section 2C)

A business model is defined as an organization’s chosen system of inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes that aims to create value over the short, medium and long term (paragraph 2.26).

7. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?

It is fundamental to a complete assessment on company business model. Describe straightforward where add value is created in the organization.
Business model (Section 2C) continued

Outcomes are defined as the internal and external consequences (positive and negative) for the capitals as a result of an organization’s business activities and outputs (paragraphs 2.35-2.36).

8. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?

Agree.
E.G: Social Balance
Simple description of internal and external factors in a table is not enough. It represent cash outflows(investments) that must be analyzed it consequences for the organization and stakeholders. Comprehensive analysis (not only description of activities) should be included in the report.

9. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2C or the disclosure requirements and related guidance regarding business models contained in the Content Elements Chapter of the Framework (see Section 4E)?

Other
10. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 2 that are not already addressed by your responses above.

Chapter 3: Guiding Principles

Materiality and conciseness (Section 3D)

Materiality is determined by reference to assessments made by the primary intended report users (paragraphs 3.23-3.24). The primary intended report users are providers of financial capital (paragraphs 1.6-1.8).

11. Do you agree with this approach to materiality? If not, how would you change it?

It is important to refer to material facts that impact business operation. Past (or present) material facts with a description of action taken to mitigate/solve.
Address future potential risks to business operation.
All publics are interested in this piece of information.
12. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3D or the Materiality determination process (Section 5B).

Reliability and completeness (Section 3E)

Reliability is enhanced by mechanisms such as robust internal reporting systems, appropriate stakeholder engagement, and independent, external assurance (paragraph 3.31).

13. How should the reliability of an integrated report be demonstrated?

It should state clearly "source of the information".
1. From internal reports: author/creation date/report name/System(ERP - X/ module Y); Department(XYZ).
2. From external reports: Research Institute/Magazine Report/ Trade Association Report
3. Interviews with suppliers= documented e-mails/letters assuring compliance with company best practices on waste management; labor relations and transport(CO2 emission control).
4. Interviews with clients= documented client opinion surveys.
5. Identify systems in place for TMS(transportation mgmt system); Inventory Management system.

14. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3E.

Other

15. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 3 that are not already addressed by your responses above.
Chapter 4: Content Elements

16. Please provide any comments you have about Chapter 4 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the Content Element Business Model [Section 4E] in your answer to questions 7-9 above rather than here).

Chapter 5: Preparation and presentation

Involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D)

Section 5D discusses the involvement of those charged with governance, and paragraph 4.5 requires organizations to disclose the governance body with oversight responsibility for <IR>.

17. Should there be a requirement for those charged with governance to include a statement acknowledging their responsibility for the integrated report? Why/why not?

As a collective and collaborative reporting activity, it should be organized under I.R. department coordination. An internal reporting committee should be created. Committee and/or I.R. department members should acknowledge responsibility for the integrated reporting acts and facts published.

18. Please provide any other comments you have about involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D).
Credibility (Section 5E)

The Framework provides reporting criteria against which organizations and assurance providers assess a report’s adherence (paragraph 5.21).

19. If assurance is to be obtained, should it cover the integrated report as a whole, or specific aspects of the report? Why?

Audit company assurance seems recommended. Certify compliance with ISAE (international standard on assurance engagements).

It is recommended a different audit company from Financial Statements.

20. Please provide any other comments you have about Credibility (Section 5E). Assurance providers are particularly asked to comment on whether they consider the Framework provides suitable criteria for an assurance engagement.

Other

21. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 5 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the materiality determination process [Section 5B] in your answer to question 11 above rather than here).
Overall view

22. Recognizing that <IR> will evolve over time, please explain the extent to which you believe the content of the Framework overall is appropriate for use by organizations in preparing an integrated report and for providing report users with information about an organization’s ability to create value in the short, medium and long term?

Development of <IR>

23. If the IIRC were to develop explanatory material on <IR> in addition to the Framework, which three topics would you recommend be given priority? Why?

Other

24. Please provide any other comments not already addressed by your responses to Questions 1-23.

Please save the completed PDF form to your computer and submit via the IIRC website at www.theiirc.org/consultationdraft2013